

Effects of *Metarhizium brunneum* and *Aspergillus* spp. on the emergence of coconut leaf beetle parasitoid, *Tetrastichus brontispae*

Jessil Ann L. Pajar, Maville Lou Soriano, Marie Anjanette Paasa,
Franco G. Teves

Department of Biological Sciences, College of Science and Mathematics, Mindanao State University –Iligan Institute of Technology, Iligan City, Philippines. Corresponding author:
J. A. Pajar, jessilann.pajar@g.msuiit.edu.ph

Abstract. Integrated pest management (IPM) for *Brontispa longissima*, have regarded the discrete utilization of *Tetrastichus brontispae* and *Metarhizium* spp. as the most effective control means for the said pest. Combined or additive applications of these two different biocontrol agents have not been documented, although many parasitoids have been found compatible with entomopathogenic fungus (EPF), which resulted to a more efficient pest management. Yet, information is lacking on the susceptibility of *T. brontispae*, to the commonly used Green Muscardine Fungi (*Metarhizium* spp.). To fill in this gap, we assessed the susceptibility of parasitoid, *T. brontispae* to an exotic obligate entomopathogen, *Metarhizium brunneum* (*M.b*) and ubiquitous opportunistic *Aspergillus* spp. representing sections *Fumigati* (*Asp01*) and *Flavi* (*Asp02*), previously observed to infect *B. longissima* at 1×10^5 conidia mL⁻¹ concentration. By evaluating the emergence and survival of *T. brontispae*, the three fungal isolates were found to be pathogenic to the parasitoid, where a significant decline in number of emerging parasitoids was observed. This negative effect on parasitoids by fungal species pathogenic to *B. longissima* may complicate or suggestively prevent simultaneous use of EPF with parasitoids as biocontrol agents.

Key Words: biocontrol, *Brontispa longissima*, entomopathogen, integrated pest management.

Introduction. Suppression and control of *Brontispa longissima* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) population by applying pesticides brought unsatisfactory results (Pimentel 2000; Hosang et al 2004), as chemical control practices have caused development of pest resistance, toxic effects to natural enemies, and threats to crops and non-target organisms, public health and the environment (Nguyen et al 2004). In the latter years of managing *B. longissima* - locally known as coconut leaf beetle (CLB), introduction of entomopathogenic fungi (EPF) particularly *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Beauveria bassiana*, provided satisfactory solution, and has consequently been used in many affected coconut (*Cocos nucifera*) producing countries (Zimmermann 1993; Nguyen et al 2004). Biological control agents (BCA) other than microorganisms are also used as alternative means to minimize pest populations (Dean et al 2012). Eulophid parasitoids are used to attack the larval and/or pupal stages of CLB (Nakamura et al 2006; Chen et al 2010). *Asecodes hispinarum* is a valuable parasitoid used in parasitizing larva of pests (Pundee 2009) and *Tetrastichus brontispae*, a pupal parasitoid, which originated from Java, Indonesia, has been successfully imported to many countries to effectively curb CLB infestation (Cochereau 1969; Boheman et al 1979; Stapley 1979; Muniappan et al 1980; Stechmann & Semisi 1984; Halfpapp 2001).

Integrated pest management (IPM) for *B. longissima*, has regarded the discrete utilization of *Metarhizium* spp. or *T. brontispae* as the most effective control means for the said pest (Rethinam & Singh 2004; Hosang et al 2004; Chen et al 2010). Combined or additive application of *T. brontispae* and *Metarhizium* spp. has not been documented, although many parasitoids and predators have been found compatible with the EPF and the combination of BCA resulted to a more efficient pest management (Labbé et al 2009;

Gao et al 2012; Aiuchi et al 2012; Wu et al 2015). However, few studies have also shown the susceptibility of many parasitoid species to fungal infection (Furlong & Pell 1996; Danfa & van der Valk 1999; de la Rosa et al 2000; Lord 2001), characterized by reduced parasitoid survival, additional sublethal effects such as behavioral changes, reduced feeding, or decreased fecundity have also been observed (Madelin 1963; Tanada & Kaya 1993; Hajek & St. Leger 1994). Yet, information is lacking on the susceptibility of *T. brontispae*, to the commonly used Green Muscardine Fungi (*Metarhizium* spp.). Aside from the commonly utilized EPF, the presence of other opportunistic fungal pathogens such as the previously described effects of *Aspergillus* species on CLB (Pajar et al unpublished data) may pose similar problem for parasitoid-assisted pest management considering acknowledged natural interactions.

In this study we evaluated the susceptibility of parasitoid *T. brontispae* to three fungal parasites of *B. longissima* – an exotic obligate entomopathogen, *Metarhizium brunneum* (M.b), usually introduced by aerial spray for foliar insect pests, and ubiquitous opportunistic *Aspergillus* species representing sections Flavi (Asp02) and Fumigati (Asp01). We hypothesize that as members of the phylum Arthropoda, *T. brontispae* may also be susceptible to the fungal pathogens at conidial concentration that efficiently kill *B. longissima*.

Material and Method. The experiment was conducted at the Microbiology laboratory, Department of Biological Sciences, Mindanao State University-Iligan Institute of Technology, Philippines from August 2014 to January 2015.

Fungal cultures. *Aspergillus* spp. previously identified to be representatives of sections Fumigati (Asp01) and Flavi (Asp02) were cultured on Sabouraud Dextrose Agar in 90 mm Petri dishes at $25\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$. The commercially available EPF strain *Metarhizium brunneum* was cultured from [®]Met52, Novozymes South Asia Pvt Ltd. All pure isolates were incubated in the dark at $25\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ for 15 days (Goettel & Inglis 1997) and were then stored at 4°C until used.

Preparation of conidial suspension. After growth and sporulation, conidia and hyphae were harvested by washing the culture with 10-15 mL of 0.05% Triton X-100 solution to produce fungal suspension. Fungal suspensions were filtered from mycelium and other substrates using filter paper fitted on a glass funnel and placed on 100 mL beaker. Conidial concentrations were determined by standard count using Neubauer haemocytometer and suspensions were adjusted to obtain a concentration of 1×10^5 conidia mL^{-1} , which was considered as lethal dose to the target organism (Ugine et al 2005).

Bioassay. Mummified pupae of *B. longissima* containing the pupal stage of *T. brontispae* were obtained from Philippine Coconut Authority – Davao Research Center. Rounded plastic containers with a meshed hole in the lid were contained with damped filter paper to provide moisture. Three mummified pupae containing approximately 50 adult parasitoids were immersed simultaneously for 5 seconds in 750 μL of conidial suspension (*M. brunneum*, Asp01 and Asp02), including a negative control of 0.05% Triton X-100 solution. This step was replicated thrice for each of the treatments. After immersion, pupae were transferred to the sterile plastic containers. All set-ups were incubated for seven days at room temperature and maintained with moisture by damping the filter paper with sterilized distilled water. Emergence and survival of parasitoids were checked daily.

Statistical analyses. Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and were further analyzed in Tukey-Kramer HSD to test for significant differences on pairs of treatments using JMP 7.0 (Windows, SAS Institute, Inc. 2007).

Results and Discussion. The bioassay was performed two days before the expected time of emergence, where approximately 50 adult *T. brontispae* were expected to

emerge from a mummified *B. longissima* pupa. We observed a delay in the emergence of the parasitoids (Figure 1), and further delay was exhibited by parasitoids administered with fungal treatments, particularly that of Asp01 and Asp02-treated set-ups.




Figure 1. Relative percentage of emerging *T. brontispae*, 7 days after inoculation (DAI) (5 days after expected time of emergence).

Relative emergence was comparatively referred to the control set-up to get an estimate count of the total parasitoids expected to emerge. A hundred percent emergence was estimated to occur five days after the estimated day of first emergence. Reduced count of emerging parasitoids was perceived from mummies treated with Asp01 and Asp02, while only 43% of the parasitoids emerged from mummies treated with the EPF *M. brunneum* relative to the control.

A two-way ANOVA was conducted to examine the effect of treatment and time on the emergence of *T. brontispae*, two days after inoculation (DAI). There was no statistically significant interaction between the effects of treatment and time on the emergence of parasitoid [F (6, 24) = 0.063, p = 0.999]. Simple main effects analysis showed that the number of emerging parasitoids were significantly more influenced by treatment – the presence or absence of fungal species in the inoculum [F (3, 24) = 19.5, p < 0.001] (Table 1).

Table 1
Results of the two-way ANOVA of time and treatment on the emergence of *T. brontispae*

Effect	Sum of squares	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares	F-statistic	P-value
Treatment	385	3	128	19.5	0.000001*
Time (days)	6.49	2	3.25	0.4922	0.617315
Treatment x Time	2.48	6	0.413	0.0627	0.998824

*p ≤ 0.001.

Since treatments posed significant effect on parasitoid emergence, a one-way between subjects ANOVA was conducted to compare the effect of each fungal treatment with that of 0.05% Triton X-100 solution (control). There was a significant effect of the different fungal parasites at p < 0.01 [F(3, 8) = 10.887, p = 0.003]. Post hoc comparison using the Tukey-Kramer HSD test indicated that the mean score for the control (M = 100, SD =

12.2) was significantly different than the *M. brunneum*-treated (M = 43.3, SD = 12.2), Asp01-treated (M = 13.8, SD = 12.2) and Asp02-treated (M = 15.4, SD = 12.2) set-ups (Figure 2).

Our results show susceptibility of *T. brontispae* to infection by *M. brunneum* and high susceptibility to Asp01 and Asp02 at concentrations pathogenic to *B. longissima* under laboratory conditions. Although EPF is said to be precise in infecting and targeting only certain type of host (Butt et al 1994; Thungrabeab & Tongma 2007) and despite the many studies reporting compatibility of *Metarhizium* spp. and parasitoids (de la Rosa et al 2000; Labbé et al 2009; Gao et al 2012; Aiuchi et al 2012; Wu et al 2015), our results depicted otherwise. In a study conducted by Thungrabeab & Tongma (2007), some species of parasitoids were found to be infected by certain isolates of entomopathogenic fungi *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Beauveria bassiana*. It has been suggested that fungi could be the reason for drastic reductions in numbers of parasitoids of insect pests (Falcon 1974).




Figure 2. Relative emergence of *T. brontispae*, 7 DAI. Levels not connected by the same letter are significantly different [F(3, 8) = 10.887, p = 0.003]. Vertical lines denote 0.99 confidence intervals.

The significant decline on the percentage of emerging parasitoids from Asp01- and Asp02-treated set-ups shows susceptibility of *T. brontispae* towards ubiquitous fungal pathogens, which may naturally occur alongside with, or as a consequence of infection by introduced microbial BCA (Hughes & Boomsma 2004). Negative effects of naturally occurring fungal species on parasitoids may complicate, or possibly prevent simultaneous use of *M. brunneum* with *T. brontispae* as biocontrol agents.

The results suggest the use of *M. brunneum* in *B. longissima* IPM, but it is necessary to pay attention to its impact on the parasitoids by applying the fungus at a time favourable for the survival of the parasitoids, and by using techniques which minimise parasitoid exposure to the pathogen. Thus, the reduced emergence of the parasitoid *T. brontispae* could suggest that combination of biocontrol agents may further be subjected to modification in pest management taking into consideration the time at which the parasitoids are exposed to the pathogen which can be of great importance as far as a possible infection is concerned.

Conclusions. *T. brontispae* was noted to be highly susceptible to the EPF *M. brunneum* as well as to ubiquitous fungal parasites Asp01 and Asp02. Our results suggest that the release of *T. brontispae* is not to be accompanied by, or follow after EPF application.

Moreover, presence of *Aspergillus* spp. also appeared to be a threat to *T. brontispae*. The experimental setup was simplified by optimum laboratory conditions and does not necessarily reflect the complexities of the multidimensional environment of a field situation. In the field, the parasitoids may not acquire infections until later in their reproductive life and thus might be less affected by fungal exposure than these laboratory results would indicate.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the Department of Science and Technology-Accelerated Science and Technology for Human Resource Development (DOST-ASTHRD) for funding this research.

References

- Aiuchi D., Saito Y., Tone J., Kanazawa M., Tani M., Koike M., 2012 The effect of entomopathogenic *Lecanicillium* spp. (Hypocreales: Cordycipitaceae) on the aphid parasitoid *Aphidius colemani* (Hymenoptera: Aphidiinae). *Applied Entomology and Zoology* 47(4):351-357.
- Butt T., Ibrahim I., Ball B., Clark S., 1994 Pathogenicity of the entomogenous fungi *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Beauveria bassiana* against crucifer pests and the honey bee. *Biocontrol Science and Technology* 4:207–214.
- Chen Q., Peng Z., Xu C., Tang C., Lu B., Jin Q., Wen H., Wen F., 2010 Biological assessment of *Tetrastichus brontispae*, a pupal parasitoid of coconut leaf beetle *Brontispa longissima*. *Biocontrol Science and Technology* 20(3):283-295.
- Cochereau P., 1969 Establishment of *Tetrastichus brontispae* Ferr. (Hymenoptera, Eulophidae), parasite of *Brontispa longissima* Gestro, var. *froggatti* Sharp (Coleoptera, Chrysomelidae, Hispinae) in the Noumean Peninsula. *Cahiers de l'Office de la Recherche Scientifique et Technique Outre-Mer (Série Biologie)* 71:139-141.
- Danfa A., van der Valk H. C. H. G., 1999 Laboratory testing of *Metarhizium* spp. and *Beauveria bassiana* on Sahelian non-target arthropods. *Biocontrol Science and Technology* 9:187-198.
- de la Rosa W., Segura H. R., Barrera J. F., Williams T., 2000 Laboratory evaluation of the impact of entomopathogenic fungi on *Prorops nasuta* (Hymenoptera: Bethyilidae), a parasitoid of the coffee berry borer. *Environmental Entomology* 29:126-131.
- Dean K. M., Vandenberg J. D., Griggs M. H., Bauer L. S., Fierke M. K., 2012 Susceptibility of two hymenopteran parasitoids of *Agrilus planipennis* (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) to the entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana* (Ascomycota: Hypocreales). *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 109:303-306.
- Falcon L., 1974 Insect pathogens: integration into a pest management system. In: *Proceeding of Summer Institute of Biological Control of Plant Insects and Diseases*. Maxwell F., Harris F. (eds), pp. 618–624.
- Furlong M. J., Pell J. K., 1996 Interactions between the fungal entomopathogen *Zoophthora radicans* Brefeld (Entomophthorales) and two hymenopteran parasitoids attacking the diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* L. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 68:15-21.
- Gao Y., Reitz S. R., Wang J., Tamez-Guerra P., Wang E., Xu X., Lei Z., 2012 Potential use of the fungus *Beauveria bassiana* against the western flower thrips *Frankliniella occidentalis* without reducing the effectiveness of its natural predator *Orius sauteri* (Hemiptera: Anthracoridae). *Biocontrol Science and Technology* 22:803-812.
- Goettel M. S., Inglis G. D., 1997 Fungi: hyphomycetes. In: *Manual of techniques in insect pathology*. Lacey L. A. (ed), Academic Press, New York, San Diego, USA., pp. 213-250.
- Boheman C. H., Fairmaire H., Gourves J., Samuelson G. A., 1979 The Chrysomelidae of Tahiti (Coleoptera). *Pacific Insects* 20(4):410-415.
- Hajek A. E., St. Leger R. J., 1994 Interactions between fungal pathogens and insect hosts. *Annual Review of Entomology* 39:293-322.

- Halfpapp K., 2001, Introduction of *Tetrastichus brontispae* for Control of *Brontispa longissima* in Australia. Technical Bulletin, Department of Primary Industry and Fisheries, Northern Territory of Australia 288:59-60.
- Hosang M. L. A., Alouw J. C., Novarianto H., 2004 Biological control of *Brontispa longissima* (Gestro) in Indonesia. In: Report of the Expert Consultation on Coconut Beetle Outbreak in APPPC Member Countries, 26-27 October 2004, Bangkok, Thailand, Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, pp. 39-52.
- Hughes W. O. H., Boomsma J. J., 2004 Let your enemy do the work: within-host interactions between two fungal parasites of leaf-cutting ants. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 271(Suppl 3):104-106.
- JMP®, Version 7. Windows, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, 1989-2007.
- Labbe R. M., Gillespie D. R., Cloutier C., Brodeur J., 2009 Compatibility of an entomopathogenic fungus with a predator and a parasitoid in the biological control of greenhouse whitefly. *Biocontrol Science and Technology* 19(4):429-446.
- Lord J. C., 2001 Response of the wasp *Cephalonomia tarsalis* (Hymenoptera: Bethyilidae) to *Beauveria bassiana* (Hyphomycetes: Moniliales) as free conidia or infection in its host, the sawtoothed grain beetle, *Oryzaephilus surinamensis* (Coleoptera: Silvanidae). *Biological Control* 21:300-304.
- Madelin M. F., 1963 Diseases caused by hyphomycetous fungi. In: *Insect pathology: an advanced treatise*. Vol. 2. Steinhaus E. A. (ed), Academic Press, New York, pp. 250-271.
- Muniappan R., Duenos J. G., Blas T., 1980 Biological control of the Palau coconut beetle *Brontispa palauensis* (Esaki and Chujo) on Guam. *Micronesia* 16:359-360.
- Nakamura S., Konishi K., Takasu K., 2006 Invasion of the coconut hispine beetle, *Brontispa longissima*: current situation and control measures in Southeast Asia. Proceedings of the International Workshop on Development of Database (APASD) for Biological Invasion. Ku T. Y., Chiang M. Y. (eds), 3:1-9.
- Nguyen T. L., Vo T. B. C., Nguyen N. T., Nguyen D. T., Tran T., Pham Q., 2004 Biocontrol potential of *Metarhizium anisopliae* against coconut beetle *Brontispa longissima*. *Omonrice* 12:85-91.
- Pimentel D., 2000 Soil erosion and the threat to food security and the environment. *Ecosystem Health* 6:221-226.
- Pundee T., 2009 Biological study of larval parasitoid, *Asecodes hispinarum* Boucek (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) and pest management program for major insect pests of coconut in a golf course. Bangkok: Kasetsart University.
- Rethinam P., Singh S. P., 2007 Current status of the coconut beetle outbreaks in the Asia-Pacific region. In: *Developing an Asia-Pacific strategy for forest invasive species: the coconut beetle problem - bridging agriculture and forestry*. Appanah S., Sim H. C., Sankaran K. V. (eds), Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, pp. 1-23.
- Stapley J. H., 1979 Annual report of entomologist 1978. Ministry of Agriculture and Lands, Solomon Islands, 27 pp.
- Stechmann D. H., Semisi S. T., 1984 Insect control in Western Samoa with particular reference to the present state of biological and integrated control. *Anzeiger für Schädlingskunde, Pflanzenschutz, Umweltschutz* 57(4):65-70.
- Tanada Y., Kaya H. K., 1993 *Insect pathology*. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 666 pp.
- Thungrabeab M., Tongma S., 2007 Effect of entomopathogenic fungi, *Beauveria bassiana* (Balsam) and *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metsch) on non-target insects. *KMITL Sci Tech J* 7(1):8-12.
- Ugine T. A., Wraight S. P., Sanderson J. P., 2005 Acquisition of lethal doses of *Beauveria bassiana* conidia by western flower thrips, *Frankliniella occidentalis*, exposed to foliar spray residues of formulated and unformulated conidia. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 90:10-23.
- Wu S. Y., Gao Y. L., Xu X. N., Goettel M. S., Lei Z. R., 2015 Compatibility of *Beauveria bassiana* with *Neoseiulus barkeri* for control of *Frankliniella occidentalis*. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture* 14(1):98-105.

Zimmermann G., 1993 The entomopathogenic fungus *Metarhizium anisopliae* and its potential as a biocontrol agent. *Pesticide Science* 37:375-379.

Received: 28 February 2015. Accepted: 03 April 2015. Published online: 17 April 2015.

Authors:

Jessil Ann L. Pajar, Department of Biological Sciences, College of Science and Mathematics, Mindanao State University – Iligan Institute of Technology, A. Bonifacio Avenue, Iligan City, 9200, Philippines, e-mail: jessilann.pajar@g.msuiit.edu.ph

Maville Lou Soriano, Department of Biological Sciences, College of Science and Mathematics, Mindanao State University – Iligan Institute of Technology, A. Bonifacio Avenue, Iligan City, 9200, Philippines, e-mail: mavillelou.soriano@g.msuiit.edu.ph

Marie Anjanette Paasa, Department of Biological Sciences, College of Science and Mathematics, Mindanao State University – Iligan Institute of Technology, A. Bonifacio Avenue, Iligan City, 9200, Philippines, e-mail: marieanjannette.paasa@g.msuiit.edu.ph

Franco G. Teves, Department of Biological Sciences, College of Science and Mathematics, Mindanao State University – Iligan Institute of Technology, A. Bonifacio Avenue, Iligan City, 9200, Philippines, e-mail: franco_teves@yahoo.com +63.63.221.4056 · +63.63.492.1173

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

How to cite this article:

Pajar J. A. L., Soriano M. L., Paasa M. A., Teves F. G., 2015 Effects of *Metarhizium brunneum* and *Aspergillus* spp. on the emergence of coconut leaf beetle parasitoid, *Tetrastichus brontispae*. *AES Bioflux* 7(1):124-130.